



# The WHITE WORKER



Official Publication of the American Nazi Party [americannaziparty.com](http://americannaziparty.com)

April 2020 Führer Year 131





*[Portions of the response to this email inquiry have been used in other similar explanations of the difference between Marxist and Hitlerian socialism. But since the question comes up so often, please allow this enhanced and clarified version of a necessarily lengthy argument serve as further armament in your own propagandizing for the cause!]*

Dear ANP;

*I am new to the NatSoc movement and am wondering if I should switch from Democratic Socialism to National Socialism. I've heard that you take a more Nationalistic approach to Socialism, as in Whites get the socialism and the blacks don't? I've also seen that you are separationists, that you encourage the separation of the blacks, Jews, and Whites. Are those assertions true? --Thanks, John*

Thanks for your email dated March 2. The virus scare madness at my day job office has taken much more of my time than usual, so I hope you will understand that as reason for my tardy reply.

I have studied the Democratic Socialists of America for the past several years. The organization was formed by the devoutly Catholic Irish-American scholar Michael Harrington. His socialism was a kind of precursor to South American "liberation theology," which proclaimed the teachings of Christ to be practically communist. The DSA has stayed in that mold for the most part, but salting back some of the abstracted Marxism that Harrington and his co-founder, the Trotskyite Max Schachtman, had peeled away from their concept of socialism. The DSA is essentially an old New Left remnant of the 1960s New Left remnant of the Old Left! Younger generations are rejecting the endemic crony capitalism much vaunted in the Western democracies and rediscovering the old New Left. The DSA lingered for years at a membership count of some 3,000-4,000. With the advent of vocal proponents such as Bernie Sanders and Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez, the DSA membership is approaching 100,000. That success is a lesson for us National-Socialists. Our socialism is however less venal. It derives economically from the true inventors of both the term and the practice: Henri de Saint-Simon who coined the term socialism, Charles Fourier, and Robert Owens, the first real life practitioner of socialism in a work and community setting. Marx appropriated that original socialism and made it into an impractical utopian political philosophy. Unlike theoretical Marxist economic socialism, National-Socialism grows most importantly out of the concept of Volk, still a difficult fundamental to translate properly into English. Volk is, yes, "folk," but more as a national ethnic component of a healthy society. Much is made today in the virus panic about private sector co-operation, as if it were something extraordinary. In NS Germany, that was a given. In fact, the co-operation between labor and management was defined and settled by Bismarck in his battle to weaken the rise of the Social Democratic Party in the late 19th Century. These policies continued and were enhanced under Adolf Hitler.

The US mainstream Democratic Party is holding its convention in Milwaukee this year, barring a worsening corona virus scenario. Milwaukee was governed for 60 years by Socialist Labor Party mayors and common councils. Milwaukee's socialists built the nation's first effective waste treatment plant connected to a model sewage drain system (back then opponents called them "sewer socialists"); they built a modern water purification facility (even today regarded as among the best in the world), public transportation, numerous parks (designed and built by socialist George Whitnall), housing initiatives....on and on. Socialism worked. BUT – it was in a time, 1890-1930, where everyone had a good job. Manufacturing in Milwaukee was premier: the best and biggest breweries in the world, the most tanneries in the world, machine shops, foundries, metal fabricators, an automobile plant, electrical manufacturers, dairies, railroads, motorcycles, construction and mining cranes, thousands upon thousands of jobs in heavy durable goods manufacturing and engineering. Milwaukeeans could therefore AFFORD the old socialism taxes, pay for the parks and sewers and clean water, pay for social welfare, and have plenty left to buy a house and car and a yearly vacation on ONE SALARY. It was in a time where the workforce was 90% White and a genuine sense of community existed, no enforced economic drone membership in a soulless economy where we are encouraged to believe everyone "is in this together." For what? The community, or the economy? Trump and the Republicans believe the economy comes first. Sanders and the Democrats and Social Dems believe the faceless society inhabiting a drone economy is primary, all of us equally sharing its benefits, or miseries.

The DSA does not have any of that solid full employment foundation to build on. We are now a service economy, and the good union jobs have been shipped overseas. The DSA wants to create an "equitable social condition" where citizens will reside –not in a society—but in an economy. A faceless, deracinated, multi-cultural, tolerant, diverse, socialized, taxed economy. The ideal of a folk community replaced of necessity by a diluted Marxian society of drones. The folk community created under National-Socialism in Germany was the reason people could withstand and defy the Allied carpet bombing of civilian populations. It was the reason why Germany had the discipline, as a nation, AFTER the war to hold together and rebuild from the ruins in what was called the *Wirtschaftswunder*, the "economic miracle" of the 1950s. German civilians were endowed with true COMMUNITY discipline and a sense of true, common destiny comradeship by their NS government. What kind of folk unity would the DSA have to build upon? None. Blacks and Jews do not contribute to a White social community. Respectively they drain or exploit it. Separation? Ultimately, yes, *even for these reasons alone*.

In the final analysis, we are talking about that one unavoidable relationship fraught with conflict: labor and management.

When the National-Socialists came to power in Germany, unions were dissolved due to a distinct Marxist taint among union leaders and their political goals. Workers of every profession, labor and white collar, were represented by the NS *Deutsche Arbeitsfront*/German Labor Front and related organizations. The Front did more for workers than any union previously had accomplished. The Front consisted of 12 trustees who set wages higher than unions were ever able to negotiate; the firing of workers became more difficult as employers were expected to behave with greater social consciousness, i.e. a ***national*** socialism. Putting profits and shareholders above workers was not encouraged, as in crony capitalist economies such as we now endure in America. Shareholders are due their dividends, but AFTER fair wages are paid out. The folk community versus the drone economy.

Thanks to the DAF, workers had representation on boards of directors and contributed ideas to product concepts and manufacturing efficiencies. Vacations for workers of at least three weeks per year were mandatory. An example of modern day neglected worker representation is the Boeing Company, the manufacturer of probably the finest passenger aircraft in the history of aviation. Boeing rushed things out on its 737 MAX jet to avoid falling behind competitor Airbus. Assemblers and line builders for the jet filed notice of dangerous shortcuts demanded by management. Typical of recent American corporate leadership, the warnings were ignored and employees threatened with termination for “unnecessary chatter” about the aircraft. Before it was grounded, I flew in a new MAX on Southwest, a beautiful airplane with unique space-age interior lighting and amazing flight stability. It was like flying in a 21st Century spaceship. Had I known of Boeing’s manufacturing shortcuts, I would have asked to be booked on another jet type even if I was delayed. Boeing has soiled its peerless reputation due to management’s greed to out-deliver competitor Airbus. This sort of thing could NOT happen in NS Germany.

The Wikipedia article is remarkably accurate with respect to the German Labor Front.

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/German\\_Labour\\_Front](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/German_Labour_Front)

In this era of exploitative capitalism, an independent union is a worker’s best protection. For many years, working in broadcast and print media, I was a proud member of the Newspaper Guild. Whenever supervisors came around demanding extra work beyond the scope of our job descriptions, one of us would call the union steward, himself a typesetter or ad writer or whatever, and in five minutes we were back to doing what we had been hired to do! How many times in modern corporate America have everyday working people been assigned more tasks, just to take up slack or fill in for someone out sick or who quit, only to have those additional obligations become a permanent part of our job....without any additional compensation. Starting in the Nixon days with his GOP congress, unions have been decimated by acts such as the 401k scam replacing solid pension plans, and so-called “right to work” laws, all designed to diminish the voice of working men and women to benefit shareholders first. The labor force – those people who sustain and build a company—they are fed the leftovers.

My father was an electrical engineer. He was a union member. He had a good job with good pay and benefits. He could therefore raise a family solely on his income. Mom did not have to work as married couples today must do in order to attain the same living standards their parents had on a single income. My dad was one day offered a management position.... if he quit the union. More money. So naturally he quit his union membership. He and several other veteran employees of this major US electrical manufacturer were sent to a southern non-union state to supervise the construction of a new manufacturing plant. Three months later, my dad and every other guy 61 years of age and older, were laid off!

The ANP can only be pro-union until such time as working people in every discipline, blue and white collar, enjoy the security guaranteed by a National-Socialist system of government that puts the dignity of labor above the desolation of greed.

No Marxist imposition in state ownership of production, no confiscatory taxes, just fair share, a concept in any healthy society where people recognize the right to earn from their labor---as a mechanic or a draftsman, professor or mason, real estate developer or carpenter---where people recognize it is not right to exploit or manipulate markets. Let the rich earn their profits, but pay employed workers a fair wage FIRST before paying out dividends to shareholders. The socialism of a society built upon the shared, common ancestry of White heritage is the socialism

in the NS worldview. It is not a mere economic interpretation of social relationships. It is racial. For the DSA socialism seeks to sustain the lowest human elements at the expense of the greater, "equalizing" not our sense of community, but our self-worth, the one value that cannot be calculated by economics, capitalist or Marxist.

Best regards, HAK for the ANP

---



## Quotable:

Escape to the Fatherland! Let us fly to our dear country. What then is our way of escape, and how are we to find it? We shall put out to sea, as Odysseus did, away from the witch Circe, or Calypso -- as the poet says (I think with a hidden meaning) -- as Odysseus was not content to stay though he had delights of the eyes and lived among much beauty of sense. Our country from which we came is There, our Father is

There. How shall we travel to it, where is our way of escape? We cannot get there on foot; for our feet only carry us in this world, from one place to another. You must not get ready a carriage, either, or a boat. Let all these things go, and do not look. Shut your eyes, and change, awaken another way of seeing, which everyone has but few use.

-- Plotinus, *Ennead*, I.6 [1].8, 16-18

The General Staff betrayal of Hitler was so staggeringly diabolical that even a god could not have prevailed.

--Hans Grimm (the author of *Volk ohne Raum*, in a post-war private letter to Dr Hans Severus Ziegler, quoted in his book *Adolf Hitler aus dem Erleben dargestellt*)

Progress is man's ability to complicate simplicity.

--Thor Heyerdahl

People may doubt what you say, but they will believe what you do. -- Lewis Cass (Secretary of War under President Andrew Jackson)

Music is the one incorporeal entrance into the higher world of knowledge which comprehends mankind but which mankind cannot comprehend.

--Ludwig van Beethoven

The government was irrevocably in the hands of the prodigiously rich and their hangers-on; the suffrage had become a mere machine, which they used as they chose. There was no principle but commercialism, no patriotism but of the pocket.

--Mark Twain, *The Fables of Man*

Thinking is the hardest work there is, which is probably the reason why so few engage in it.

International financiers are behind all war. They are what is called the international Jew: German-Jews, French-Jews, English-Jews, American-Jews ... the Jew is the threat.

--Henry Ford

After more than a month of Holocaust© memorial atrocity stories almost as prevalent in the mass media as the coronavirus panic, *The White Worker* takes a closer look at the place which remains the center ring of the whole extravagant Holocaust© Industry circus.

## How Many Died at Auschwitz?

By Dan Schneider



When trying to answer the question of how many died at Auschwitz, there have been many who have focused their attention on the so-called gas chambers. Engineer and execution equipment expert Fred Leuchter proved that not only could the so-called gas chambers NOT have functioned in that capacity, he also took samples of brick and mortar and had them analyzed for traces of hydrogen cyanide. The samples tested negative.

However, there is another area that many have overlooked. Once you have killed thousands of people, there is one little problem left: Disposing of the bodies.

There are those who say that the cremation ovens at Auschwitz did the trick. Hardly.

Eye-witnesses - Jewish eye-witnesses - claim that they placed three and four bodies per oven, and that the process took about 30 minutes. That is laughable.

Let's establish a few undeniable facts first. Cremation experts all say that it takes one hour to cremate a 100 pound body into a fine white powder suitable for sprinkling. In fairness, the Nazis did not go that far. They cremated bodies into a greyish, chalky, gritty powder which they then buried. That would have taken about 30 minutes. However, if they put three and four bodies of, let's say 100 pounds each into each oven, it would have taken 90 minutes to two hours, not 30 minutes for the lot.

The human body is 65 percent water. The first half of the cremation process dehydrates all the liquid from the body. Three or four bodies would have overwhelmed the ovens and actually would have made them cooler, which would have made the entire process take even longer.




Is it even possible to fit three bodies into an oven? Take a good look at the photograph. The soldier standing near the oven doorway gives it the proper scale. Can you see getting four - or even three corpses into that small opening? Maybe small children, but certainly not adults. Not even the thin, emaciated adults that are the classic subjects of concentration camp photographs.

That leads us to another point. According to witnesses, most victims arrived on the train and were immediately sent to special treatment, as they say it was called. These were normal sized people. They didn't have time to get emaciated if they were killed almost as soon as they arrived.

Also, it is quite obvious those ovens were made for individual cremations, not three and four at

a time. Why didn't they simply buy larger ovens? Topf and Sons, the company who made the ovens, made much larger versions suitable for mass cremations. And the Nazis wouldn't have used cremation ovens anyway. They would have used a blast furnace.

Look at the diagram below.



Coal and bodies would have been introduced at the top via conveyor belt. With a bellows to make the fire even hotter, there wouldn't have even been any ashes. They would have been burned up in the furnace. Considering how the Germans were and are brilliant engineers, they would have known the best methods to use - and ovens wouldn't have been even

considered. These are a people that developed rocket technology, jet planes, and nationwide television before anyone else. Can you imagine them using such a half-assed method as ovens?

Let's say hypothetically they did use ovens to cremate bodies from mass murders. Witnesses say that 1600 people were murdered at a time in each of the Crematoria. The so-called gas chambers could barely hold that many individuals. They would have been packed in like sardines in a can. It would have taken military-style discipline to get them all in. Why didn't some of them panic? Surely the ruse of getting a shower was no longer working. Who gets a shower packed in like sardines? There were only two to four SS guards. The rest were unarmed Kapos (Jews charged with making sure the process went along smoothly). If just 10 percent of the 1600 panicked, there wouldn't have been enough guards to handle the situation. But let's say they did handle it and the people were all killed.

Supposedly they were dragged out of the gas chamber, placed on carts, taken down a hallway to an elevator, and up to the oven area. Very inefficient. Why didn't they use conveyor belts? But let's say that's what they did. Now they begin cremation.

At most they could have gotten two bodies at a time in each oven. There were 15 ovens. If it took one hour to cremate two bodies, that means it would take 800 hours to do the entire lot. There were 15 ovens. That means it would take 54 hours to cremate them all. That's two days, and six hours. How is that even possible? Witnesses claim they gassed an entire chamber full every day. They would have accumulated hundreds of thousands of bodies just awaiting cremation in the three years Auschwitz was in operation. Where did they keep them all?

It's obvious to anyone with half a brain, the equipment at Auschwitz was totally inadequate to do the job the Jews claim. But if that is so, were the stories of the ovens running day and night a lie? Evidence shows that those ovens did get quite a lot of usage. If there were no mass murders, why were they used so much?

Auschwitz held over 100,000 inmates, on average on any given day. There was a typhus epidemic running through the camps. There was no cure for typhus, and there still is no cure. In May of 1943 over 2,000 inmates died of typhus. In June there were over 4,000 deaths. In July, the death rate topped at over 8,000! With literally thousands dying every month from typhus, accidents, and natural causes, no wonder the ovens were running day and night.

All it takes are a few facts before people realize that for the last 75 years, the world has been played for chumps.



# **MONTHLY *MEIN KAMPF***

## **Volume I, Chapter 12**

(Continued from the February and March 2020 issues of *The White Worker*)

10. The movement definitely refuses to take a stand on questions that are outside the limits of its political work or immaterial to its aims because they are of no importance in achieving our principle goals. The movement's job is not religious reformation but a political reorganization of our people. It considers both religious denominations to be equally valuable and acknowledges that they provide vital support for the existence of our people. Therefore, the movement will attack those parties that try to degrade this foundation by turning religious institutions into a tool for their own party interests. Those institutions provide our political body with religious and moral support.

Finally, the movement's job is to create the foundations which are necessary for any republic or any monarchy to survive. Our job is not the restoration of one particular form of government or the destruction of another form. The movement's mission is not to found a monarchy or to strengthen a republic, but to create a Germanic State. The question of what form a state government should take is not important in principle, the form is merely chosen based on whatever type is the most practical and useful. Once the people realize the great problems and duties of its existence, they will be able to work together as a state and the question of outward formalities, such as governmental structures, can no longer lead to inner struggles, so such matters can be easily worked out.

11. The movement's inner organization is about convenience, not principle.

The best organization is the one that places the fewest middle men between the leadership of a movement and its individual supporters. The job of an organization is to communicate a specific idea that has originated in the mind of an individual to a large group of people and to supervise how that idea is transformed into reality. The organization structure itself is just a necessary evil. At best, it is a means to an end; at worst, it becomes an end in itself.

Because the world produces more mechanical beings than it produces intelligent minds with ideal natures, the forms that an organization can take are more easily constructed than the ideas themselves. It is easier to build the structure itself than it is to build its substance of ideas which the structure is intended to support.

The path of every idea that strives to be fulfilled, especially one that involves major reforms of an existing system, is outlined as follows: It begins when some inspired idea springs from the brain of a man who feels he is called upon to share his insight with the rest of mankind. He preaches his views and gradually wins a certain circle of supporters. This process of direct and personal communication of a man's ideas to the world around him is the most natural and by far the most ideal method. As the number of followers increases, it becomes impossible for the founder of the original idea to carry on directly with the innumerable followers while trying to both lead and guide them in the doctrine. When the group grows to a point where it can no longer support one-on-one interaction, an organizational structure is required. The ideal condition comes to an end and in its place we have the necessary evil of organization. Small sub-units are formed by the creation of local groups of members, for instance, and these represent the nuclei for the growth of the political movement's later organization.

However, if the unity of the doctrine is to be maintained, sub-groups cannot be created until the authority of the intellectual founder and his beliefs are accepted absolutely and completely. The next requirement is a centrally-located headquarters and its importance for a movement cannot be overestimated. The location should be chosen such that it is surrounded by the magic spell of a Mecca or a Rome. This place can give a movement a particular strength that only comes from inner unity because it provides recognition that there is one creator and leader who represents this unity.

In forming the first nuclei of the organization, it is critical to maintain the importance of the place where the idea originated. This place must be preserved and the importance must continue to ramp up until it is paramount to the movement. This growth of the theoretical, moral, and actual dominance of the place where the movement began must occur at the same rate that new nuclei-groups are added, and as they in turn demand new interconnections between their cells. The increasing number of individual followers make it impossible to continue direct dealings with them and that leads us to the formation of our lowest level groupings. Eventually the number of followers will increase dramatically, and the lowest form of organization will grow which forces us to establish higher units, which may be politically described as an area or district division.

It may be easy to maintain authority of the original headquarters over the lowest local groups, but it will be very difficult to preserve this position when the organization becomes more developed and has various levels of upper management. However, maintaining the authority of the original headquarters is the first essential for a unified movement. Without a unified movement, the continuation of the organization and the ultimate accomplishment of the idea become impossible. When these larger middle management groups must be united into still larger and higher units in the organization, maintaining the absolute supremacy of the original place of foundation, its school of teachings, etc., becomes more and more difficult.

Consequently, the mechanical shape an organization takes must not be expanded beyond the point where the spiritual authority of headquarters is guaranteed. With such political entities, this guarantee can only be given through strength of force. From this, we established the following guidelines for the movement's inner structure:

A. Initially, we must concentrate all work in a central location, in one single city, this will be Munich. We must train a group of followers who are absolutely reliable and, with them, develop a school we can use to circulate the movement's doctrine. In order to gain authority which will be needed later, it is essential that we secure the greatest possible number of visible successes in this one city. Such prestige will be beneficial for subsequent expansion. In order to make the movement and leaders well known, it is necessary not only to publicly shake the faith in the invincibility of the Marxist doctrine, but to prove that a contrary doctrine is possible.

B. Local groups can be formed only after the authority of the central management in Munich is absolutely recognized and acknowledged.

C. Establishing district, area, or national groups is to take place only when needed, and then only after the absolute recognition of headquarters as the seat of authority has been achieved. Also, the creation of subordinate cells depends on the availability of possible leaders who are qualified to lead the cells. Here there are two solutions:

1. The movement must acquire the necessary funds to train capable minds who can become leaders. It then becomes possible to systematically use these resources in whatever manner

they are needed. This method is easier and quicker, but it requires much greater financial resources. These leaders, who are trained, can only work full-time for the movement if they are paid a salary.

2. Because of the financial limitations of a young movement, it is not in a position to employ such leaders. Instead it must initially rely on those who will serve on an honorary basis. This method is slower and more difficult. Under these particular limitations, the movement's leadership must let large districts remain fallow and inactive if a man does not emerge from among its followers who is able and willing to put himself at the disposal of the central authority and to organize and lead the movement in that particular district.

There may be some regions where no leader steps forward at all. Other regions may have two or three potential leaders who are equally qualified. This unequal distribution of potential leadership is frustrating and it will take years to overcome. The essential element for the creation of any cell organization is always finding a leader who is able to lead it. All the military companies of an army are worthless without officers. In the same manner, a political organization is equally worthless without the appropriate leader. It is better for the movement not to form a local group than to allow it to be created and then fail because a guiding and forceful leader's personality was missing. The desire to be a leader is not an adequate qualification. A leader must have ability. Energy and a strong will are more important than intellectual genius. A combination of ability, determination, and perseverance is the most valuable of all.

12. The future of a movement is determined by the devotion, and even intolerance, with which its members fight for their cause. They must feel convinced that their cause alone is just, and they must carry it through to success, as against other similar organizations in the same field.

It is a huge mistake to believe that the strength of a movement can be increased by uniting with another similar movement. Growth by merger means an immediate increase in numbers which appears to outside observers that the organization has increased in power and resources. In truth, the organization has simply absorbed germs which will be a source of inner weakness and this will cause suffering later on. No matter what anyone says about the similarity of two movements, such closeness never really exists. If they were truly so similar, there would be only one movement and not two. It doesn't matter where the differences are. Even if the difference is in the inconsistent abilities of the leadership alone, then we have found the difference.

The natural law of all development never accepts the joining of two unequal beings. True joining only occurs when the stronger gains victory over the weaker. When this natural selection happens, the strength and energy of the victor is increased by the struggle itself.

Uniting two similar political party structures may produce momentary advantages, but in the long run, any success gained in this way will cause inner weaknesses to appear later. The greatness of a movement is only guaranteed by the unhampered development of its inner strength, the protection of that strength, and the constant increase of that strength until it achieves final victory over all rivals. More than that, we may say that a movement's strength and its right to exist increases only when it recognizes that adherence to the principle that struggle is necessary for growth and that it will only reach the peak of its strength when complete victory is finally achieved. The movement can never attempt to accomplish this victory through instant or short term gains, but only through perseverance and absolute intolerance of any opposition. Only in this way will the movement enjoy a long stretch of growth.

Movements which have expanded from the union of similar organizations, where each made compromises to achieve the joining, are like plants grown in a hot-house. They shoot up quickly, but they lack internal strength and are not substantial enough to stand the test of time or to resist violent storms. The greatness of any powerful organization, which embodies a powerful idea in this world, depends on the absolutely religious fanaticism with which it establishes itself when compared to others. It must be fanatically convinced that it is right and just, and it must be absolutely intolerant of any idea or organization that is counter to its own teaching. If an idea is right and it takes up the sword of battle with this mind-set, it is invincible and any persecution only strengthens it.

The greatness of Christianity was not established through compromise. Believers had no reason to engage in negotiations of appeasement with those who had roughly similar, ancient philosophical opinions. Christianity was created through unyielding and fanatical declaration and defense of its own teachings.

The apparent head-start that movements gain by uniting with similar movements is more than offset by the steady increase of strength that occurs when a doctrine and its organization remain independent and fight for themselves.

13. As a matter of principle, the movement must train its members to regard struggle as something that they actually undertake, not as something casually to engage in out of necessity. They must not fear the hostility their enemy directs towards them, but must regard it as the justification for their own right to exist. They must not try to avoid the hatred of the enemies to our nationality and our philosophy, but they should embrace that hatred.

Enemies will use lying and slander as expressions of their own uneasy frustration. Anyone who is not attacked, lied about, and slandered in the Jewish newspapers is no decent German and no true National-Socialist. The best measuring stick for the value of a man's principles, the honesty of his convictions, and the strength of his determination is how much deadly venom his name arouses in the enemy who hates him deeply.

Followers of the movement, and in a broader sense all of the people, must be reminded again and again that in his newspapers, the Jew always lies.


Even an occasional truth is only intended to cover a bigger lie; therefore, even a truth becomes a deliberate untruth. The Jew is the "Great Master of Lies" and he uses his weapons of lying and deceit in battle. Every Jewish slander and every Jewish lie is a scar of honor on the body of our warriors. The man they insult the most is closest to us in spirit and the man they have a mortal hatred for is our best friend.

Any one of our followers who picks up the Jewish newspaper in the morning and does not see himself slandered in it, has accomplished nothing the previous day. If he had served any purpose, he would be persecuted, insulted, slandered, abused, and attacked by the Jew. Only the man who effectively opposes this deadly enemy of our people, who is also an enemy of all Aryan humanity and civilization, can expect to find the slanders of the Jewish race and the schemes of these people directed at him.

When these principles become second nature to our followers, the movement will be unshakable and invincible.

14. [continued below in the essay "Why Adolf Hitler"]

**NEWS**



**VUES**

**By HAK**



Portrait by SS Photographer Friedrich Franz Bauer

**Why Adolf Hitler?** Why do we 21st Century National-Socialists promote the ideals of a Man who has been deemed the embodiment of evil? Why do we continue to support Him and His rightful heir George Lincoln Rockwell? Our faith in these men, our beliefs that often cause rejection by family and friends, that risk our total social ostracization, yet we press on when so many others who claim NS fealty crumble under the pressure of the enormous responsibility such faithfulness demands. Why? Because **our very instincts will not allow us to betray our precious heritage** which -- even as these lines are written -- continues to endure endless assaults from antagonists either envious or malicious, as we are forced to witness our culture slowly succumb to a certain death.

The fact that our Führer has been vilified as a malignant, hate-filled demon, His soldiers and followers hunted down, killed or prosecuted and imprisoned **to this very day** though they be more than 90 years old, tells us that our persecutors are scared to death of not only His truth but also His legacy. It means we are right to hold fast to our NS convictions.

Even mainstream historians have begun to turn away from the decades of prurient propaganda churned out by predominantly Jewish media outlets with respect to Führer and the Third Reich. The academic taboos long governing any dispassionate discussion of the Nazi era are crumbling due to new data pouring out of once locked archives in the West and Russia. Oswald Spengler's one time warning about the *Decline of the West*, which Spengler conceded had been halted by Adolf Hitler, is back on the contemporary historical records agenda as evidenced by British journalist and political commentator Douglas Murray's *Strange Death of Europe: Immigration, Identity, Islam* (Bloomsbury Publishing, London, 2017). The book's arguments underscore the inescapable fact that Europe, like America, suffers from virulent degeneration. White people are committing racial suicide, their societies culturally and spiritually debauched and thus defenseless against the low-race hordes making their way into our imperiled future. We are held in thrall, made defenseless by the constant drip of corrosion emanating from the same usual suspects who have been at the root of convulsive distraction and distortion throughout the ages, a drip from the spigot of Jewish dominated mass media, money, and ruthless mercantilism. Murray writes: "Only modern Europeans are happy to be self-loathing in an international marketplace of sadists."


Indeed, recognition of a resumption of Europe's decline is voiced by no less a luminary than Niall Ferguson, a Scottish-American historian and economist (see his brilliant two-volume history of the Rothschilds) who works as a senior fellow at the Hoover Institution. Previously, he was a professor at Harvard University and New York University, also a senior research fellow at Jesus College, Oxford, and a visiting professor at the New College of the Humanities. According to Ferguson, Europe is committing demographic suicide, systematically depopulating itself in what he has called "the greatest sustained reduction in European population since the Black Death in the 14th century." In *The Economist* for March 10, 2011, a reviewer summarizes Ferguson's views outlined in his book *Civilization: The West and the Rest*. "Mr Ferguson starts with the overwhelming success of European civilization. In 1500 Europe's future imperial powers controlled 10% of the world's territories and generated just over 40% of its wealth. By 1913, at the height of empire, the West controlled almost 60% of the territories, which together generated almost 80% of the wealth. This stunning fact is lost, he regrets, on a generation that has supplanted history's sweep with a feeble-minded relativism that holds 'all civilizations as somehow equal.'"

Ferguson is critical of what he calls the "self-flagellation" that characterizes modern European thought. "The moral simplification urge is an extraordinarily powerful one, especially in this country [Great Britain], where imperial guilt can lead to self-flagellation," he told a reporter. "And it leads to very simplistic judgments. The rulers of western Africa prior to the European empires were not running some kind of scout camp. They were engaged in the slave trade. They showed zero sign of developing the country's economic resources. Did Senegal ultimately benefit from French rule? Yes, it's clear. And the counterfactual idea that somehow the indigenous rulers would have been more successful in economic development doesn't have any credibility at all." (*The Observer*, February 20, 2011)

The liberal and much promoted "virtues" of tolerance and multi-cultural diversity have developed an unwillingness to create a **European** future in the most elemental sense, **by creating new generations of indigenous White Europeans**, a factor at the root of many of Europe's problems, including its difficulties assimilating often primitive, ineducable immigrants and its fiscal distress. When an entire continent — healthier, wealthier, and more secure than ever before — deliberately chooses sterility, the most basic cause for that must lie in the realm of the human spirit, in a certain souring about the very mystery of being. And yet the answer is so simple:

***When human hearts break and human souls despair,  
Then the great vanquisher of distress and care,  
Of shame, of misery,  
Of spiritual slavery,  
Looks down upon them from the twilight of the past  
And holds out his eternal hand.  
Woe to the people too ashamed to stand!***

***-Mein Kampf, Vol. I, Chapter 12***



However, that "great vanquisher" is denied us! **We are denied our heritage represented by the individual men and women who created it in their agencies as builders of a culture that guides and nourishes a racial community.** The mystery of being, the distress of life within drone-labor modern economies foisted upon us as social constructs, have answers available except for the fact that these answers, these solutions, have been disparaged and condemned as evil patrimony, as White privilege, the new original sin.

The "Monthly *Mein Kampf*" feature of this magazine, for the previous two months, has been building to this point. Our Führer explains:

14. The movement must encourage respect for individual personalities using every means possible. The movement must never forget that all human values are based on personal values. Every idea and every achievement is the result of the creative power of one man, but the public's admiration for great men is not only a tribute of gratitude to that man, *that same reverence is the factor that binds them together into one group with a strong unifying bond.*


Individuality is irreplaceable, particularly if that individual possesses *the vital cultural and creative elements* and not the purely mechanical elements where a man goes through the motions as if he were a puppet.

No student can replace a master painter and successfully complete his half-finished painting. Neither can the great poet and thinker, the great statesman, or the great general be replaced by another. Their activity is an art in itself. What they accomplish cannot be taught mechanically, but such talent is inborn and a gift of divine grace. The world's greatest revolutions and achievements, its greatest cultural accomplishments and immortal deeds in the field of statesmanship are all forever linked and inseparable from the name that history has chosen to represent each achievement. If we do not give proper respect and reverence to one of those great spirits, then we lose the great source of strength that emerges from speaking the names of any and all great men and women.

The Jew knows this better than anyone. Those they call great men are only great at destroying humanity and its civilization, but the Jew is careful to make certain those figures continue to be admired and idolized. Yet, when any other group of people shows reverence for those of their own who have outstanding minds or made fantastic accomplishments, the Jew tries to represent those great souls as unworthy and brands such reverence as a "cult of personality". When a people become cowards and yield to such Jewish claims and disrespect, they surrender the mightiest force for inner strength they possess.

This force is founded in reverence for genius, and it comes from teaching and adoration of these men as examples of what we can be. Such reverence does not come from dictating to the masses whom they should venerate.

Adolf Hitler is feared because His teachings apply to the world today just as much as in the 20th Century. Adolf Hitler is feared by our common enemies, so His image has been soiled by a propaganda so obscene it could only have developed in Jewish minds, eloquently described in the pathological pseudo-science of psychiatry invented by anally obsessed Sigmund Freud. If the outright lies about His political philosophy are not enough, then His personality is described as a vessel of every human perversion imaginable, or unimaginable. If His alleged multiple sexual and behavioral perversions are not enough, then He is ridiculed in pop culture, from Charlie Chaplin to *Hogan's Heroes*, and beyond. Such obsessions with sex and sadism tell more about the assessor than his subject. Modern historians and economists are in agreement that the West, our White culture, is in peril, yet all of them dare not mention HIS name as the Man who was set to alter this retrograde future for White civilization.



Michael Kimmage, a fellow at the German Marshall Fund, argues in his recent book *The Abandonment of the West*, that on the left, "the West" long ago came to be seen as a source of hypocrisy and racism. Columbus was recast as a conqueror and plunderer. Once it was no longer protected by rivalry with the Soviet Union, the notion of the West fell out of favor as an ideological rallying point. The left has increasingly regarded it as "too white, too male in its history, too elitist, too complicit in the Euro-American aggressions of less enlightened eras." The American right likes the idea of the West's cultural heritage, but is uncomfortable with the reality of Europe as an essential component of it, "too seemingly divorced from nationhood, too



invested in the welfare state, too pacifist, too secular". As China and Russia challenge democracy and the canon of Enlightenment texts disappears from university reading lists, Mr Kimmage says, the idea of the West is not just wavering, it may be doomed.

Well, democracy is not an answer, Mr. Kimmage. That is one reason why we may be doomed.

In the words of retired US Army Colonel (and Professor Emeritus of International Relations and History at the Boston University) Andrew Bacevich: The US President, Congress and Senate are enablers of "an increasing Israelization of American foreign policy." (*America's War for the Greater Middle East: A Military History*, Random House, 2016) In 1917 it was a war for the bankers and the Balfour Declaration. Today it is for those same financial interests and the illegitimate state of Israel, the symbol of ascendant Jewish sensibilities since the first ghettos.

Unlike Kimmage, Bacevich is not interested in the hollowing out of the West's ideas in universities and think-tanks, but in their grandiose inflation among the political elite. He quotes George W. Bush telling West Point cadets in 2002 that: "The 20th century ended with a single surviving model of human progress, based on non-negotiable demands of human dignity, the rule of law, limits on the power of the state, respect for women and private property and free speech and equal justice and religious tolerance." Noble, democratic, and expected mediocre conservative sentiments. However, in his new book *The Age of Illusions*, Bacevich's gloss is that the country's military, political and commercial elites came to believe American motives were beyond reproach, and that their world-view was sure to prevail. They therefore took it upon themselves to become global enforcers. They built a new operating system designed to cement American primacy, based on globalization, military dominance, the individualistic pursuit of profitable fulfilment and an imperial presidency.

Yet this system, Mr Bacevich argues, has been plagued by unintended consequences. Globalization was meant to create wealth, but many Americans complain of inequality; military dominance sucked the country into never-ending wars that sacrificed the children of lower-income families (but, for the most part, no one else's); the pursuit of fulfilment led to the withering of duty and a selfish, atomized society; and the supremacy of the presidency became a recipe for voters' disappointment. All this culminated in the election of Mr Trump. The president's critics, this book argues, overestimate him even as they underestimate the importance of his victory. Mr Trump is "a mountebank of the very first order", Mr Bacevich writes, but his presence in the Oval Office is a rejection of the post-cold-war operating system and all it stands for. The elites' focus on Mr Trump's wickedness, he maintains, spares them the pain of having to acknowledge **how pitifully their own project failed**. (*The Economist*, April 4-10, 2020)

Margaret Thatcher, the matriarch of Reagan conservatives, neo-conservatives, libertarians, and Ayn Rand Objectivists like Rand Paul, Milton Friedman, Alan Greenspan, Mark Cuban and former Republican congressional tax-cutting guru Paul Ryan, the whole paleo-conservative lot, was noted for her one philosophical utterance: "There is no such thing as society, only individuals." In other words, somehow the modern world should revert to an agrarian plan where one establishes a homestead on a plot of land and defends it against all uninvited trespassers, including the government. Such "rugged individualism" worked fine in the wild west, not in post-industrial times. It should be here noted that Thatcher's ideological gurus, by her own admission, were Sir Alfred Sherman, a Communist Jew veteran of the Spanish Civil

War (he became a reborn capitalist), and Sir Keith Joseph, a Jew monetarist of the Milton Friedman school, and former leadership role in the World Jewish Relief charity organization.

Thatcher and her ilk believe everything should be privatized, from the police to the mails. They do NOT acknowledge the *agency of the individual* as clarified by NS jurist and political theorist Carl Schmitt, and his influential German philosopher, sociologist, and anthropologist colleague Arnold Gehlen, who noted that the agency of the individual and the creation of a true societal commons, i.e. institutions, are the cement of civil society. Not Thatcher's idea of individuals thwarting the intrusion of unwanted society, but individual builders of a folk community. Not the capitalist notion of society that is merely an economy in which individuals must fend their own way, succeed or fail despite unequal opportunity, but everyday people, individuals who use the agency of their own personal wills to survive in a common social structure to guarantee a future cultural legacy for their children. As Adolf Hitler makes clear in *Mein Kampf*, the individual creates, committees stagnate in endless debate. The folk community created through the will of individuals sustains while raceless economies can only exploit. **The lie that National-Socialism strives for a society of brainless, conformist, uniformed robots goose-stepping to a monomaniacal leader is laid to rest by Führer's political arguments long before He gained power, and by the performance of folk-dedicated individuals in Germany and Reich occupied societies during the war.** Indeed, Gehlen underscored the *biological source of agency* in his 1940 book entitled: *Man: His Nature and Place in the World* (after the war the book was still in print but had its NS advocacies removed).

Today we have no more reference points to begin a social creation, or rather re-creation in the Hitlerian mold. Our forefathers and their achievements have been degraded into crimes, our heritage is criminal, our fighting champions and heroes and thinkers are malefactors, made so by the powers of prevailing propaganda disseminated by the real victors of the two World Wars; indoctrination meant to separate us from our own people and their achievements, a legacy left to guide us forward. White peoples have been subjected to an intentional social engineering, a re-education intended to leave us witless and malleable as we wander through the drone economic systems of liberal democratic capitalism or utopian Communism. We as a race have sacrificed too much already at great cost to our personal lives and our common future. Living in a system of government and its sustaining economy wherein we are numbed by enough to eat and a 24 hour media-driven, mindless pop culture set to a low crowd pleasing denominator is fatal. The recently deceased English philosopher and dedicated champion of Wagnerian racial regeneration, Sir Roger Scruton, warned that the cost of enduring exclusively economically-focused, acultural governments is ominous: "It should not be thought that the cost of a system which makes an idol of ignorance and a prophet of the crowd is small."

During the tenure of the Third Reich hundreds of thousands of books, magazines, research papers, and professional journals came out of the universities, medical and scientific institutions, corporate R&D laboratories, contributing to the disciplines of medicine and chemistry, anthropology and archaeology, aviation and astronomy, energy and conservation, and not unexpectedly a serious investigation of the Jewish question through the auspices of the *Forschungsamt zur Judenfrage im Reichsinstitut für Geschichte des Neuen Deutschlands* (Research Dept. for the Jewish Question in the Reich Institute for the History of the New Germany). In a letter written to Adolf Gemlich dated Sept. 16, 1919, Adolf Hitler complained of the irrational and emotional nature of German anti-Semitism, that traditional anti-Semitism had defined the Jews in religious and cultural terms rather than in racial ones. That situation was

corrected in 1936 when the *Forschungsamt* was created. In 1939 a second center for academic study of the Jewish question was established by NS ideologue Alfred Rosenberg, the Institute for the Study of the Jewish Question. The scholarly journals published by both institutions amounted to several volumes, many of which are in my NS library. The contents are astonishing. Alan Steinweis, professor of Holocaust Studies at Vermont University, has had to admit in his book *Studying the Jew: Scholarly Antisemitism in Nazi Germany* (Harvard University Press, 2006), that the research undertaken by these institutions resulted in serious studies published in journals that “were the result of rigorous and meticulous preparation” and “contained information that sometimes proved useful even to Jewish scholars after 1945.” The institutions were headed by Walter Frank and Wilhelm Grau, who co-operated closely with scholars who had university appointments. The often crude and unnecessarily prurient exposés featured in Streicher’s *Der Stürmer* were decidedly not part of the diligent academic studies, as valuable an early propaganda tool that tabloid may have been. Steinweis is himself scholar enough to realize that Nazi researchers were anxious to be taken seriously, and “presented their work as the product of rigorous scholarship. Their conclusions conformed to Nazi ideology not because they were biased, but because Nazi ideology happened to represent the truth. This is what Walter Frank meant in his 1936 speech when he referred to Nazi scholarship as an example of ‘politics and science becoming a vitally self-fulfilling unity.’” (“The Jewish Question” in the anthology *Nazi Germany and the Humanities*, One World Publications, 2007). Needless to say, Steinweis got into some trouble with his fellow Holocaust© Industry Jews for such unbiased conclusions.

Dismissing Adolf Hitler as a rabid, prejudiced, ignorant and thuggish Jew-hater is insufficient reason to deny His unique role in the future of all White nations and ethnicities. He proved time and again that –historically-- the Jew has been our misfortune.

More of the unbiased truth: The Big Lie was not a tool *advocated* by Hitler, it was *exposed* by Him as the main weapon of the Jew.

But it remained for the Jews, with their unqualified capacity for falsehood, and their fighting comrades, the Marxists, to impute responsibility for the downfall precisely to the man who alone had shown a superhuman will and energy in his effort to prevent the catastrophe which he had foreseen and to save the nation from that hour of complete overthrow and shame. By placing responsibility for the loss of the world war on the shoulders of Ludendorff they took away the weapon of moral right from the only adversary dangerous enough to be likely to succeed in bringing the betrayers of the Fatherland to justice.

All this was inspired by the principle—which is quite true within itself—that in the big lie there is always a certain force of credibility; because the broad masses of a nation are always more easily corrupted in the deeper strata of their emotional nature than consciously or voluntarily; and thus in the primitive simplicity of their minds they more readily fall victims to the big lie than the small lie, since they themselves often tell small lies in little matters but would be ashamed to resort to large-scale falsehoods.

It would never come into their heads to fabricate colossal untruths, and they would not believe that others could have the impudence to distort the truth so infamously. Even though the facts which prove this to be so may be brought clearly to their minds, they will still doubt and waver and will continue to think that there may be some other

explanation. For the grossly impudent lie always leaves traces behind it, even after it has been nailed down, a fact which is known to all expert liars in this world and to all who conspire together in the art of lying. (*Mein Kampf*, vol. I, ch. 10)

A holocaust is defined by Webster's as "a thorough destruction involving extensive loss of life especially through fire." Like Dresden. Like Tokyo. Hamburg. Nagasaki. Berlin. Hiroshima.


The Jewish Holocaust© was cultivated into the world's universal religion as the result of a 1978 TV mini-series by that name, taking it from Nora Levin's 1968 book *The Holocaust: The Destruction of European Jewry, 1933-1945*. It was only after the fall of the last Communist government in 1989 that Polish historians were finally allowed to say what Franciszek Piper, manager of historical department at Auschwitz, said he had known for the previous five years. Jewish scholars said they knew the truth for at least the previous 10 years, dating back to that TV show. Jewish and Polish scholars of the Holocaust© now agree that the Auschwitz death toll was less than half the four million cited there for four decades. The actual number was probably between 1.1 million and 1.5 million-and at least 90 percent of the victims were Jews. The fiction that more than a million non-Jews died here was a myth created by Poland's Communist leaders. **BUT: The mythic SIX MILLION number was NOT subject to deduction as the fake numbers on the memorials at the camp were. Why? Maybe a Big Lie?**

Anti-Nazi propaganda would have us believe that Hitler was anti-Polish, anti-Russian, indeed anti-Slavic anything. Slavs are slaves, the supposed slogan ran among Nazi bigwigs. Lie. As early as 1920, His speeches, preserved in *Akten der Reichskanzlei, Regierung Hitler 1933-1945*, lamented the fact that Russians had been taken in by Marxist agitprop and fallen under the yoke of Jewish Bolshevism. Hitler praised the ruggedness and bravery of Polish soldiers, expressing the desire to forge ties with Polish veterans in order that a bulwark against advancing Communism could be built to protect both Poland and Germany. These several speeches are catalogued in the recently published *Hitler: A Global Biography* by English-German historian Brendan Simms. Hitler recognized that the artificial state created by the Versailles Treaty, Czechoslovakia, was unstable and could not sustain itself. That is why he formed the Protectorate. After the demise of postwar Communist occupation, what did the Czechoslovakians do? Split into two separate states, as envisioned by Hitler! The Slavs were eager to ally with Germany and Hitler: the Croatsians under Ante Pavelic, the Slovaks under Mgr. Jozef Tiso, the Romanians under Antonescu.

The Wannsee Conference of January 20, 1942, where supposedly the extermination of the Jews was mapped out, lasted barely two hours! The unredacted original typewritten top secret minutes of that meeting have been published. They support Himmler's original assessment of the Jewish resettlement matter (still envisioned Madagascar Plan from Napoleon), that it was not to be supplanted by mass murder. Himmler, who was responsible for ensuring that the racial reorganization desired by Hitler was carried out in the conquered areas, was uncertain about the development of things. In his May 1940 memorandum for Hitler, there is the consideration that "the Bolshevik method of physical extermination of a people should be rejected out of inner conviction as un-German and impossible." Hitler noted in the margin, "Quite right."

The altered Wannsee minutes had been partially released by revanchist Jew Robert Kempner, assistant chief U.S. legal counsel at the Nuremberg show trials. He had absconded with the document and a large portion of Alfred Rosenberg's diaries. As head of the Reich Ministry for

the Occupied Eastern Territories, Rosenberg would surely have known about extermination camps. After Kempner's death, the FBI and DHS in 2013 seized Kempner's property held by his executor. The booty he gathered to prosecute Nazi officials was property of the U.S. government, and he had hidden it for decades. The missing Rosenberg diaries were given to the Holocaust Memorial Museum in Washington. Under pressure from historians, they were published after carefully transcribed by an independent German language specialist. Gas chambers? Ovens? NOTHING! As David Irving remarked, the deflated reaction to the much sought-after Rosenberg indictment of Germans was: "Move along. Nothing to see here."



New mainstream biographies of Hitler have tilted away from sensationalism. Bit by precious bit, the truth seeps into the public discourse. The most remarkable of these books is the 2011 work entitled *Hitler: Beyond Evil and Tyranny* by R.H.S. Stolfi. The author was a Naval Postgraduate School professor emeritus and a colonel in the Marine Corps Reserve. More recent books by Volker Ulrich, Brendan Simms, even conformist German historian Peter Longerich must admit, if grudgingly, that Adolf Hitler was a political genius, that He was not subject to screaming fits where He would foam at the mouth, fall to the floor and chew pieces out of the carpet, that He did not suffer from monorchism (one testicle, a wartime Brit fave mockery), He was not a sadist or sexual pervert...on and on and on the list of egregious and often arrantly laughable lies strewn about since the day He rose to prominence, and long after His departure from the Earth, all lies. Finally modern historians can no longer indulge the vicious and unhistorical nonsense their academic predecessors were "encouraged" to perpetuate. Longerich, it seems, is quite hypnotized by our Führers eyes. That quirk we grant him!




Finally, why did Hitler make the same mistake as Napoleon and invade Russia? Fake hero Winnie Churchill welcomed Hitler in 1933 as did many French politicians, Germany a buffer between their nations and the possible onslaught of "Asiatic hordes" out of the East (they meant Jewish Bolsheviks). Even Roosevelt saw the Germans as offering that security. But as Germany grew stronger and more prosperous, by 1936 Churchill told retired American general R.E. Wood: "Germany is getting too strong. We must destroy Germany." Wood was against American involvement in a war with Germany. With Stalin planning a first strike while Germany and Britain were occupied in combat to the West, Hitler could not risk a reactive defense. Because blitzkrieg tactics had been so successful, He risked an intended quick subjugation of Russia with the help of the Ukraine. But relations with Ukrainian leadership, at odds within its own ranks, were botched. We lost. The White race lost. But we still have opportunities to reverse our dissolution into multi-cultural grayness by taking up the great legacy left to us by all those who fought with Führer, from Irish Waffen-SS battalions to volunteers of the Armenian Waffen-SS, even Turkestan divisions! There is still hope. There is still TIME to hope, but not to delay.



Why Adolf Hitler? "How on Earth did you find Hitler as a hero?" So often I get asked that question. I try to explain as I have done in this all too brief essay. I try to explain that I did not find Hitler.

He found me.

**We was Kangs!** -- The Letters section of *The New York Times* for February 9, 2020, was dedicated to about a dozen readers writing of their concerns as to what kind of history is being taught in American schools. As godfather of two grade school boys, I decided to check for myself. I was blissfully unaware of the "fact" that negroes were the seeds to great civilizations in Europe and Asia. White folks and Asians had little to do with it, until the negroes vanished and left their high cultures behind.

What? Yes, that is some of the utter crap being dished out to White kids not only in America, but also in Europe and Australia. This mutli-culturalism reboot of White societies everywhere has inflicted an epidemic virus worse than any one-cell organism. Take a look at the titles imposed on the minds of my beloved 7 and 11 year old godsons.





BCC PRESENTS... Eventbrite

**DR. Runoko Rashidi**

**IS THE BLACK WOMAN GOD?**

A lecture on The History of the Black Woman: Majesty, Grace & Power.


**SAT 20TH JANUARY**

6:30PM - 10:00PM

**THE WEST INDIAN CLUB**  
159 SPON STREET COVENTRY,  
CV1 3BB

Limited Early Bird Tickets £5  
INFO/EXHIBIT: 07983451224  
Blackconsciouscoventry@gmail.com

Dr. Runoko Rashidi  
Straight outta LA



Translating the Ebonics title of this article into English: We were kings! That is what black kids are taught along with their non-black classmates. There is no such thing as race, the diversity laden textbooks say, except that blacks are the true master race!

The foremost promoter of post 1970s style “black power” is one Runoko Rashidi, born in South Los Angeles in 1954 and a product of the Malcolm X-Stokely Carmichael black power movement. He took an African name when he was 18 years old. It means –now get this-- “handsome teacher.” This pompous porcine pickaninny has educated himself enough to cleverly mix up historical data to fit his confessed Marcus Garvey fascination which seeks a black racial hegemony over the entire planet. One of his colleagues working independently but similarly “inspired” was one Ivan Van Sertima, a mulatto born in Guyana, now deceased. The assertions made by these negroes, just two among many dozens including self-flagellating White accomplices, are easily dismissed as falsehoods through existing, long-established archaeology, and very new discoveries in genetics. DNA samplings of Egyptian mummies from the royal, priestly and merchant classes, all reveal **European** traits. Not negro, not even Semitic. (*The Guardian*, May 30, 2017)

What is troubling most about these fake histories is the effect they have on young black people. A 30 something black woman posts this feminist take (verbatim) on her blog. She draws a lot of young black readers:

A Warning to White America: The Black Warrior Queens Are Coming For You! Over the course of 20 years, I've studied a lot of issues and had to read a lot. Racism is a problem that can only be stopped by destroying the biggest culprits of it: The White Man and The White Woman. Both have employed hatred against darker skinned people around the world and inflicted emotional, psychological, mental, physical and spiritual anguish on innocent people that has had tremendous impact on Black people in succession throughout time. As a Black woman who loves and cares about my culture and people; I take it absolutely personal. Those who have followed my blog over the years, and those who knew my from Blackvoices, my words have always been sharp and deliberate. They are weapons of destruction against the evil force that created White Supremacy. The audacity of it is repugnant to my senses! On Twitter today, I announced that I Am The Biggest Threat to White Supremacy That Ever Existed! There is nothing supreme about “whiteness” in nature. Everything that is vibrant and healthy has color. White symbolizes inferiority or a deficiency. And this is Caucasians personified. They are a sick people, genetically, mentally, emotionally, psychologically and spiritually–The Walking Dead. And we, the Original People of this planet, have had to endure this monster. But now, I am having clear visions of an end for them that they are not anticipating.

Life has taught me that you can't be nice to white people–you have to hurt or kill them. The Black woman was the first mother and queen of this planet. We are natural protectors of our people. And if threatened, we will not hesitate to defend our people, by any means necessary. The Black Woman is the secret weapon among our people and when it is time; warrior queens will come against our enemy as they did in ancient times. This is the offense, White America is not prepared for. I smirk at this thought...

The dusky chick stumbingly affects more articulate arguments about “black Egypt.”



5000 years ago, when white people were wandering around in animal skins, the ancient Egyptians were building the pyramids. With absolute precision—earthquake proof; and lined up precisely with magnetic north, getting the whole job done in 20 years. What mighty people were these? It completely debunks white supremacy. Which is why the LIE of who the ancient Egyptians were, have [sic] been co-opted by racist white archaeologists and scholars within the last century. But why? I guess, when you're at negative birthrate. Are genetic recessive; and your origins are from the caves of Eurasia, I guess one could look at this as a twisted kind of self preservation through identity theft. But! The ancient Egyptians were not European! White people are an insecure people. They have an innate need to place themselves in history at its greatest periods in order to justify their proclamations of superiority. But wanting to be "important" versus being relevant to facts, hints at a deep level of group sociopathy and insanity, more so than actual clarity. Simply put, white people were not the architects of civilization. BLACK PEOPLE WERE! If white people descended from Egyptians, don't you think it would be in their DNA? [Um, it is.] White people are natural haters. They tried to rewrite history with lies and cover-ups. But science is deeper than that. And the more they dig; the BLACKER the planet gets.

Sorry. Science, genetics AND archaeology prove you wrong, despite your liver-lipped attempts at disputational eloquence. You are still a nigger. That is as true now as it was in 1905 when this timeless paragraph, describing your people, was first published:

**Education, sir, is the development of that which is. Since the dawn of history the negro has owned the continent of Africa—rich beyond the dream of poet's fancy, crunching acres of diamonds beneath his bare black feet. Yet he never picked one up from the dust until a white man showed to him its glittering light. His land swarmed with powerful and docile animals, yet he never dreamed a harness, cart, or sled. A hunter by necessity, he never made an axe, spear, or arrowhead worth preserving beyond the moment of its use. He lived as an ox, content to graze for an hour. In a land of stone and timber he never sawed a foot of lumber, carved a block, or built a house save of broken sticks and mud. With league on league of ocean strand and miles of inland seas, for four thousand years he watched their surface ripple under the wind, heard the thunder of the surf on his beach, the howl of the storm over his head, gazed on the dim blue horizon calling him to worlds that lie beyond, and yet he never dreamed a sail! He lived as his fathers lived—stole his food, worked his wife, sold his children, ate his brother, content to drink, sing, dance, and sport as the ape!**

**--Thomas Dixon, *The Clansman***

And this is one of the milder posts my boys have discovered in their homework research, browsing the internet for material to write book reports. I guided them to real histories written not just by White people, but by Arabs and Chinese. All agree that Timbuktu, for example, the supposed ultimate ancient black African city-state, was nothing but a trade route stopover. Starting out as a seasonal settlement, Timbuktu became a permanent settlement early in the 12th century after a shift in trading routes. Timbuktu flourished from the trade in salt, gold, ivory and slaves. **Slaves.** By 1591 it was overrun by Arab tribes from the north. End of story.

The blackness of ancient Egypt...false. In the eighth century BCE, after decades of racial, economic, and political decline, before the advent of the Greek Ptolemaic Kingdom's restoration of Egyptian greatness, armies from Nubia marched 700 miles north from Jebel Barkal to Thebes, the spiritual capital of Egypt. There the Nubian king Piye became the first of a succession of five "black pharaohs" who ruled Egypt for just six decades with the blessing of the influential Egyptian priesthood in urgent pursuit of any relief, even from Nubia, Egypt's former colony. The black Nubians inherited a decayed civilization, they did not build it.

The fact that otherwise watchful academics will always seek to snuff out any serious study of race or historical reality, all the while giving a pass to black history fiction, is another baleful social development among otherwise intelligent White folk. We must stand guard for ourselves, and for our children. Mainstream historians and professors who claim to practice the rigors of dispassionate research and yet endorse this mountain of hateful horse crap are committing race treachery as great as mixing the blood.

This year we celebrate the 250th birthday of the Aryan genius Ludwig van Beethoven. On the occasion of his 200th back in 1970, a negro professor submitted a paper claiming Beethoven had black ancestors. That idiotic proposition is still bandied about today. When reported in the local newspaper in my city, a man wrote back with a very simple judgment of the claim's truth: "Keep your cotton-pickin' hands off Beethoven!" I was surprised the letter was published.

**Wartime President** -- The corona virus panic has led to one of Trump's advisers suggesting that the president take on the new, manly title of wartime president. He has. Trump, during another wildly cascading "Fox & Friends" call-in, launched into a remarkable diatribe about Germany and Russia – and how the United States should treat each country – after being asked about what he and Russian President Vladimir Putin would discuss during a planned telephone conversation. The American leader repeated his long-espoused view that it would be a "good thing" if Washington and Moscow had a warmer relationship, noting he has been saying so since before he was a presidential candidate. Despite his five-year-old contention, the old Cold War adversaries remain rivals on the global stage. "They also fought World War II. They lost 50 million people," Mr Trump said of Russia, then the Soviet Union. "Germany was the enemy. And Germany's now, like, this wonderful thing," he added, suggesting the German nation is not-so wonderful in his eyes. In true Trumpian form, he attempted to give himself some distance from the suggestion, saying of his half German ancestry: "Look, it's fine, it's in my heritage." These odd stream-of-consciousness rambles. Anyway, wrong war, Donald.



Speaking of things Trumpian, what the hell does Jared Kushner know about anything but manipulation of money? First he spent over two years as his father-in-law's Middle East emissary (accomplishing what? Other than kissing Saudi royals on the mouth and Netanyahu on the...cheek); then he was pulled off that to accelerate building of The Wall (in February it was at 110 of 700 miles); and now he is part of the virus task force to supervise disbursement of stimulus monies. Oh, right, money manipulation. Now I get it. Trump's pampered Jewish son-in-law never physically exerted himself, never sweated a day in his life except when in a shvitz enduring one of Trump's Oval Office tantrums. But he has

earned the president's accolades for just showing up. Donald, is this the best you can do for us? But wait, hmm....accolades? Trump was overheard by five aides back in July of 2018: "Jared hasn't been so good for me. I could have had Tom Brady as a son-in-law. Instead, I got Jared Kushner." I say again, this is the best you could do, Donald, you, the ace transactional negotiator? And you couldn't talk your daughter out of marrying this guy? Or was intermixed Kushner family money manipulation at stake here?

**GOP celebrates biggest stock market gain since 1933** -- That was one of many business news headlines on March 24. The stock market, the politicians' only real measure of America's stature in the world, continues to delight the damned crooked benefactors of backdoor deals inhabiting the soiled halls of government in D.C. No matter how miserable life can get for the everyday man and woman, Wall Street ALWAYS finds a way to make lots of money, off the backs of FUTURE labor output, using super computers to make trades in nanoseconds before any regulatory check can be implemented. Anyone who still places hope in the politicians of either party in America is wagering against his own certain losses, monetary and spiritual.



A soldier's candid snapshot, 1940



**Adolf Hitler in Memoriam, April 20, 1889 – April 30, 1945**

**He is two Men in one combined,  
One relentless, one refined;  
A Man who gains the goals He sets.  
We His Folk stay in His sights,  
The least among us knows He fights  
For us, our future He begets.**

**Two streams flow from out His heart,  
Feed folkish roots to help us start  
To sow the seeds, to help us stay  
Growing true a prosperous Folk,  
Into the truth of which He spoke.  
Never this Man shall we betray!**

--from *Das Lied der Getreuen*, an anthology of poems written by anonymous Hitler Youth in Austria during the years of struggle, 1933-37. Edited by Baldur von Schirach.

Philipp Reclam jun., Leipzig, publisher.

Photo by Leni Riefenstahl.

Poem translated by HAK, former Secretary, World Union of National Socialists

The first step in joining our efforts to secure a future for our people is to send in the following information and become an ANP Official Supporter!

## American Nazi Party Official Supporter Application

Please answer the following questions briefly:

- 1. Do you consider yourself to be basically of Aryan/White ancestry? \_\_\_\_\_
- 2. To the best of your knowledge do you have any Jewish blood? \_\_\_\_\_
- 3. Do you consider yourself to be in basic agreement with the aims and goals of the ANP?  
\_\_\_\_\_
- 4. Do you agree to adhere to the general rules and policies of the ANP? \_\_\_\_\_
- 5. Do you agree to pay monthly dues at a minimum of \$10.00? \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Zip Code: \_\_\_\_\_

Email: \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone (Optional): \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Email this application to:  
[staff@americannaziparty.com](mailto:staff@americannaziparty.com)

This form is copyrighted by the American Nazi Party all rights reserved. Any unauthorized use may be subject to legal action © 2018.

